MULTISCIA
JOURNALS PUBLISHERS

FRONTIERS IN MATHEMATICS AND BIOSTATISTICS

ISSN: (3065- 4297)

https://multisciajournals.com/journals/index.php/fmbs

editor.fmbs@gmail.com



Frontiers in Mathematics and Biostatistics
Volume1, Issue4, 2025

Improving Lifetime Data Modeling via a Comparative Examination of the Modified Weibull Distribution

Shahid Mohammad

Department of Maths

Article Info

Received: 25-07-2025 ***Revised :02-10-2025*** ***Accepted :11-10-2025*** ***Published :22-10-2025***

Abstract: In this research, a novel two-parameter univariate distribution is presented for a non-negative domain, which is an adaptation of the Weibull distribution. Azzalini and Capitanio's framework is the source of this distribution, which shows a hazard rate that increases monotonically. Key statistical features, such as reliability functions, moments, moment-generating functions, and order statistics, may be expressed in closed form. A formula is developed for the purpose of generating random numbers. Parameter inference makes use of the greatest likelihood. The dependability of these estimators under different scenarios is shown in a simulation exercise. Two real-world datasets, waiting times and carbon fiber tensile strength, are used to evaluate the model's practical usefulness. Validity tool tests and quantile-quantile plots demonstrate that the suggested model is more adaptable and accurate in fitting real-world issues than the alternatives that were chosen.

Keywords: Carbon Fiber, Hazard Function, Maximum Likelihood, Skew, Waiting Time

Introduction

There has been a surge in the creation of univariate probability distributions in recent years, mostly as a result of academics expanding, modifying, or generalizing existing models. Most of these initiatives are focused on expanding the number of factors that probability distributions may take into account in order to make them more adaptable to complicated behaviors and real-world data, such as skewness and variable hazard rates. Given its flexibility to accommodate both growing and decreasing hazard rates, the Weibull distribution has found extensive usage in areas such as environmental research, survival analysis, and reliability engineering. There are, however, restrictions to classic Weibull distributions and several extensions of them, such as the generalized Weibull and extended Weibull families. Concerns such as mathematical intractability, over-parameterization, and the inability to accurately describe different data structures fall under this category. The flexibility required for datasets displaying substantial skewness or tail behavior is often lacking in existing Weibull extensions, and they often fail to represent the entire gamut of real-world hazard behaviors. This leaves room for a more straightforward and powerful substitute.The Modified Version of Weibull Distribution is a new two-parameter distribution that is introduced in this paper. Azzalini and Capitanio (2013) suggested a broad transformation strategy that yielded the (MVW) distribution. In order to improve the original Weibull model's modeling capability while maintaining its mathematical simplicity, the MVW distribution is built. The mathematical and statistical features, such as moments and order statistics, of the MVW distribution are thoroughly investigated in this work. Also included is an equation for generating random numbers and using greatest likelihood to infer parameters. A simulation study is conducted and used to match two real-world datasets: customer waiting times in a bank and tensile strength measurements of carbon fiber. This validates the model's performance and practical applicability. The findings showed that the MVW model outperforms the selected alternative in terms of capture, as shown by quantile-quantile (Q-Q) plots and fitted density curves. The model's ability to handle non-negative real-life data further highlights its potential for wider applicability.

Motivating Examples

The distributional patterns of data in real-world problems often deviate from the normal distribution. Researchers continually seek modified probability models capable of fitting such data accurately. Our proposed model is one such modification, designed to capture real-world data in the positive realm and to accommodate deviations from normality. To illustrate this, we selected two real-world datasets. One dataset comprises 100 observations of waiting time (in minutes) for customers at a bank before receiving service (Ghitany *et al.*, 2008). Another data set comprises ″69 data points on the tensile strength of carbon fibers tested under tension at gauge lengths of 20 mm, measured in GPa units" (Bader and Priest, 1982). Both sets exhibit significant deviations from the normal distribution, as evidenced by their descriptive summaries and plots. Further, the histograms and box plots of both data sets are presented in Figures 1 and 2. The descriptive statistics presented in Table 1 and the graphical representations demonstrate deviations from normality, thereby supporting the selection of the MVW distribution

likelihood method” available tool *nlmixed* procedure in SAS softwar.

Pi

sk

W

- parameter Weibull, skew-normal, and the general formula of



Two-parameter Weibull distribution for a random variable X is defined through its probability density function (PDF) and cumulative distribution function (CDF) in Equations (1) and (2), respectively.or analysis.

$$g(x) = \frac{\alpha}{\beta}\left(\frac{x}{\beta}\right)^{\alpha-1} e^{\left(-\left(\frac{x}{\beta}\right)^{\alpha}\right)}, \text{ for } x > 0 \quad (1)$$

$$G(x) = 1 - e^{\left(-\left(\frac{x}{\beta}\right)^{\alpha}\right)} \quad (2)$$

Fig. 1: Histogram and box plot of tensile strength of carbon fiber data



Fig. 2: Histogram and box plot of waiting time for customer data

Table 1: Fundamental statistical measures of both data sets

Data	N	Max.	Min.	Mean	SD	Sk	Ku
Waiting Time	100	.080	38.50	9.877	7.237	1.495	2.735
Tensile Strength	69	1.31	3.59	2.4513	0.4951	-0.029	0.028

Materials and Methods

In this part, we have incorporated the information about materials and methods used in this study. The general skewing method is used to formulate the new probability distribution. The R programming software is used to prepare the graphical illustration of the unique characteristics. For assessing the goodness of fit, we employed likelihood-based criteria, including ″Negative Log-Likelihood” (NLL), ″Akaike Information Criterion" (AIC), and ″Bayesian Information Criterion” (BIC). In addition, goodness of fit was evaluated using the tests based on the empirical distribution functions, such as the ″Kolmogorov-Smirnov” (K-S) test, ″Anderson-Darling" (A-D) test, and ″Cramer-Von Mises” (C-M) criterion. ForHere, $\alpha > 0$ represents the shape of the distribution, while $\beta > 0$ denotes the scale. This distribution was used by researchers across disciplines (Johnson *et al.*, 1994; Murthy *et al.*, 2004; Lai *et al.*, 2006). Recently, the Weibull distribution was also used to analyze the data of age at menopause of Nepalese women (Gaire *et al.*, 2023b).

Numerous generalizations of distributions have emerged within the realm of univariate probability distributions. Researchers have extended, modified, and generalized the Weibull distribution by incorporating scale, location, or threshold parameters. Some notable examples of modified distributions including, but not restricted to, the extended flexible Weibull (Bebbington *et al.*, 2007); Kumaraswamy Weibull (Cordeiro *et al.*, 2010); Transmuted Weibull (Aryal and Tsokos, 2011); Gamma-exponentiated Weibull (Pinho *et al.*, 2012); transmuted modified Weibull (Khan *et al.*, 2018); Weibull-G family (Bourguignon *et al.*, 2021), and three-parameter modification of Weibull (Tashkandy and Emam, 2023). In alignment with these advancements, the MVW distribution has been introduced.

The General Skew Distribution

Azzalini (1985, 2005) initially introduced the method of skewing the normal distribution, where an additional asymmetry parameter $\lambda > 0$ was incorporated to extend the ″standard normal distribution". The PDF of the resulting ″skew-normal" distribution was defined as:

Parameter estimation is conducted using the ″standard$f(z) = 2\phi(z)\,\phi(\lambda z)$, for $z, \lambda \in R$(3)

where $\varphi(z)$ and $\Phi(\lambda z)$ in Equation (3) represent the

$$f(x) = \frac{2\alpha}{\beta}\left(\frac{x}{\beta}\right)^{\alpha-1} e^{\left(-\left(\frac{x}{\beta}\right)^{\alpha}\right)}\left(1 - e^{\left(-\left(\frac{x}{\beta}\right)^{\alpha}\right)}\right);\ x > 0 \quad (5)$$

″Standard normal” PDF and CDF, respectively.

After identifying the utility of this approach, Azzalini and Capitanio (2013) generalized the formulation by replacing the standard normal components with an arbitrary base distribution. This led to a more flexibleFigure 3 illustrates different curves of the PDF of the MVW distribution for selected values of parameters. The cumulative distribution function of the MVW distribution is defined and given in Equation (6) as:

family of distributions, where the PDF is expressed as:

$$F(x) = \left(1 - 2e^{\left(-\left(\frac{x}{\beta}\right)^{\alpha}\right)} + e^{\left(-2\left(\frac{x}{\beta}\right)^{\alpha}\right)}\right) \quad (6)$$

$f(x) = 2g(x)\,G(x)$, $x \in R$,(4)Figure 4 illustrates the CDF of the MVW distribution

In Equation (4), $g(x)$ and $G(x)$ are the functions to be chosen as the baseline distribution. Such formulation retains the skewing mechanism of Equation (3) while broadening its applicability to non-normal settings. Gupta *et al.* (2002) introduced skew-uniform, skew-t, skew-Cauchy, skew-Laplace, and skew-logistic models utilizing this concept. Generalized skew-Cauchy model induced by Huang and Chen (2007). Subsequently, Nadarajah (2009) conducted a detailed study on the skew-logistic distribution. In all aforementioned cases, symmetrical base distributions were selected. The skew log-logistic (SLLog) distribution, introduced by Gaire *et al.* (2019) and further investigated by Gaire and Gurung (2024b), considered the log-logistic distribution as a base distribution. This choice diverges from symmetrical distributions, as advocated by (Shaw and Buckley, 2009). Recently, the SLLog distribution was applied to model data of age at first marriage of women (Gaire *et al.*, 2024a), age-specific fertility rate, and age at menarche (Gaire *et al.*, 2024b). These applications underscored thefor various parameter values, demonstrating a monotonically increasing with the random variable X.



Fig. 4: Graph of the CDF of the MVW distribution

Moments

For the MVW distribution, the k[th] moment is defined in Equation (7) as:

$$\mu_k' = \frac{k\beta^k}{\alpha}\Gamma\left(\frac{k}{\alpha}\right)\left(2 - 2^{-\frac{k}{\alpha}}\right),\ \text{for } k > \alpha \quad (7)$$

significance of such modifications. In our context, we opt for a Weibull distribution as the base to formulate the MVW distribution.

A Modified Version of the Weibull Distribution

In particular, the first two moments of the MVW distributions are expressed as:

In this section, we introduced the MVW distribution

$$\mu_1' = \frac{\beta}{\alpha}\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)\left(2 - 2^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}\right) \text{ and } \mu_2' = \frac{2\beta^2}{\alpha}\Gamma\left(\frac{2}{\alpha}\right)\left(2 - 2^{-\frac{2}{\alpha}}\right)$$

and presented different probability functions along with basic properties of this distribution.The values of the moment about the origin for different values of parameters can easily be obtained and used to compute the value of skewness of the distribution. Similarly, the k^{th} incomplete moment of the MVW distribution is given in Equation (8) as follows:

$$m_k(t) = \left(\frac{\beta^\alpha}{2}\right)^{\frac{k}{\alpha}}\left[\Gamma\left(\frac{k+\alpha}{\alpha}, \frac{2t^\alpha}{\beta^\alpha}\right) + \left(2^{\frac{k}{\alpha}+1} - 1\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{k+\alpha}{\alpha}, \frac{t^\alpha}{\beta^\alpha}\right)\right] \quad (8)$$

Where $\Gamma(s, x) = \int_x^\infty t^{s-1}e^{-t}dt$ is an "upper incomplete gamma function".



Fig. 3: Graphical illustration of the PDF of the MVW distribution

Probability Functions of the MVW Distribution

Moment Generating and Characteristics Function

Using the power series of the exponential function e^{tx}, the "moment generating function" $M_x(t)$ is obtained in the form:

$$M_x(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{n!}\mu_n'$$

Substituting the value of *g(x)* and *G(x)* of the Weibull distribution, in Equation (4) yields the PDF of the MVW

distribution expressed in Equation (5) as:
(7).
where $\mu_n$is the n^{th} moment expressed in Equation

The function $\varphi_x(t)$, known as the "characteristic function of a random variable X", is defined as the expected value of e^{itx}. It can be expressed as:

$\varphi_x(t) = E(e^{itx}) = E(Cos(tx)) + iE(Sin(tx))$

Where i is the imaginary unit. Using the power series expansion of the cosine and sine functions as:

$\cos(tX) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}$

$\sin(tX) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}$

$\frac{(-1)^n t^{2n}}{(2n)!} X^{2n}$ and

$\frac{(-1)^n t^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!} X^{2n+1}$



The characteristic function $\varphi_x(t)$, corresponding to the MVW distribution, can be expressed through a series expansion. Utilizing the previous simplification, it takes the following form:**Fig. 5:** Graphical illustration of the reliability function of the MVW distribution

Similarly, the hazard rate function represents the conditional likelihood of failure, assuming the system

$\varphi_x(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n t^{2n}}{(2n)!} \mu'_{2n} + i \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n t^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!} \mu'_{2n+1}$

has functioned without failure until time x.

This function for the MVW distribution is formulated
Where μ'_{2n} and μ'_{2n+1}
are the moments obtained fromin Equation (11).
Equation (7).

Quantile Function and Random Number Generation$h(x) = \frac{2\alpha}{\beta}\left(\frac{x}{\beta}\right)^{\alpha-1}\left(1 - e^{\left(-\left(\frac{x}{\beta}\right)^{\alpha}\right)}\right)^{-1}$(11)

Assume that the random variable X follows theNow, the MVW distribution's cumulative hazard rate is formulated and presented in Equation (12) as:
MVW distribution whose CDF is in Equation (6) and
$p \in (0, 1)$, where p is a uniformly distributed variable. Inversion of the CDF yields the quantile function for the MVW distribution, which is expressed as follows:

$H(x) = \left(\frac{x}{\beta}\right)^{\alpha} - ln\left(2 - e^{\left(-\left(\frac{x}{\beta}\right)^{\alpha}\right)}\right)$
(12)

$F(x) = \left(1 - e^{\left(-\left(\frac{x}{\beta}\right)^{\alpha}\right)}\right)^2 = p$

$X = \beta\left[-ln\left(1 - \sqrt{p}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$(9)

This derivation assumes that (0 < p < 1) to ensure that all terms are well-defined and that the inverse exists. It also assumes that the MVW distribution parameters α and β are strictly positive, which is necessary for the monotonicity of the CDF and valid interpretation of the logarithm and square root operations involved. Hence, Equation (9) provides a valid method for generating pseudo-random variables from the MVW distribution, provided these regularity conditions are met. The generated sets of random numbers can describe the future scenario of a continuous random variable of any social event with given α and β. Such by the method of inversion can help to anticipate future situ one-quarter, one-half, and three-quarters of the MVW distribution



Fig. 6: Graphical illustration of the hazard rate function of the MVW 4 and $p = 3/4$, respectively.

Reliability Analysis of the MVW Distribution

The probability that an item continues to function beyond ich is formulated in Equation (10), and visual illustrations are presented in Figure 5.

- e -



Fig. 7: Graph of the cumulative hazard rate function

Figures 6 and 7 illustrate a visual illustration of both

β β

$R(x) = 1 - F(x) = (2e(-(^x)^\alpha)\_\_ \quad (-2(^x)^\alpha))(10)$

the hazard and cumulative function of the proposed MVW ution, choosing suitable parameter values.

It is found that the hazard rate function increases with

$\sqrt{}$

$M = \beta[-ln(1 - 0.5)]^\alpha$. And the value of m_1 (.) can time.

Order Statistics

A sample $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$, consisting of n random variables, is taken from a two-parameter MVW distribution defined by CDF $F(x)$ and the PDF $f(x)$ in Equations (6) and (5). The corresponding order statistics of these samples are $X_{1:n} \leq X_{2:n} \leq \ldots X_{n:n}$. Then, the PDF of r^{th} order statistics for MVW distribution is formulated in Equation (13) as:be obtained from Equation (8).

Estimation and Inferences

The maximum likelihood technique is applied to formulate the expression for estimating the associated constants of the MVW distribution. Suppose $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$ consists of n samples following MVW distribution.
To formulate the parameter, the "likelihood function" L
is defined in Equation (17) as:_ $\frac{x_i}{}\alpha$ -2 x_i α(17)

$i=1$

$g_r(x) = \frac{n!}{(r-1)!(n-r)!} f(x)[F(x)]^{r-1}[1 - F(x)]^{n-r} L = (\frac{2\alpha}{\beta})\prod^n (\frac{x_i}{\beta})\prod^n_\beta \; (e\; (_\beta)\; _\beta - e$

$(_\beta)\;)\quad \beta(r-1)!(n-r)!\; (\bar{\beta})\quad \bar{\beta}\quad \bar{\beta}$ The log-likelihood function (lnL) of the MVW

$g_r(x) = \frac{2\alpha n!}{} x^{\alpha-1} e^{-(^x)^\alpha}(1 - e^{-(^x)^\alpha})^{2r-1-(^x)^\alpha} \quad -2(^x)^\alpha$(13)distribution is derived in Equation (18) as:

$(2e \;\; \bar{\beta} \;\; - e \;\; \bar{\beta} \;\;)$

The expression of the PDF of the sample minimum $lnL = nln(\frac{2\alpha}{\beta}) + (\alpha - 1)\sum^n_{i=1} ln(\frac{x_i}{\beta}) +$
X_1 of order statistics is defined as follows in Equation $\sum^n_{} n(\; -(\frac{x_i}{})^\alpha \; -2(\frac{x_i}{})^\alpha$ (18)

(14). $fX_{(1)}(x) = n(1 - F(x))^{n-1} f(x)_{i=1}\; l_e \quad \beta \quad - e \quad \beta \quad)$

$fX_{(1)}(x) = \frac{2\alpha n}{\beta}(\frac{x}{\beta})^\alpha_e -(^x)^\alpha$The following equations are formulated to estimate the parameters of the MVW distribution in Equations$(^x)^\alpha -2(^x)^\alpha \quad -(^x)^\alpha n-1$(14)(19) and (20) respectively as $\theta = (\alpha, \beta)$:

$(1 - e_\beta \;\;)(e\_\beta \quad - 2e \quad \beta \;\;)$

$\frac{\partial lnL}{\partial \alpha} = \frac{n}{\alpha} + \sum^n_{i=1}$

$ln(\frac{x_i}{\beta}) -$

Similarly, the expression of the density of sample

$\frac{x_i}{\beta}\; \frac{\alpha\alpha}{\alpha x_i}) \; ln(\frac{x_i}{})(1+2e\; (_\beta)\;)$(19)

maximum X_n is defined as follows in Equation (15). $\quad n-1 \quad \sum$

$n \; -(\frac{x_i}{})^\alpha \; \beta^{i=1}\beta$

$fX_{(n)}(x) = n(F(x)) \quad f(x)(1-e \quad \beta \quad)_{x\; \alpha\alpha\; \alpha} \;\; 2n-1 fX_{(\;)}(x) = \frac{2\alpha n}{}(\frac{x}{})_e -(_\beta)(1 - e^{-(_\beta)}\;)$(15)

$\alpha-1 \; -(\frac{x_i}{})^\alpha$
(20)

$n \quad \beta \quad \beta$

$\frac{\partial lnL}{} = -\frac{n}{} - \frac{n(\alpha-1)}{} + \frac{\alpha}{} \sum^n(^{x_i})(1+2e \quad \beta \quad)$

Finally, the PDF of the joint density of the sample $\partial\beta$ maximum and sample minimum is defined as follows in

$i=1 \quad \beta \quad \beta^2$

$(1-e^{-(\frac{x_i}{})^\alpha})$

Equation (16).By solving these nonlinear systems of equations and

$fX_{(1)X_{(n)}}$

$(x, y) = n-2$

setting the score vector to zero, we obtain the maximum likelihood estimators (MLEs) for the unknown values of

$n(n-1)[F(y)-F(x)]f(x)f(y)$ (16) parameters $\theta = (\alpha, \beta)$ of the MVW distribution. Since

This holds for $x < y$ and both variables are in the support of the MVW distribution.

Mean Deviation

Consider a random variable X follows the MVW distribution with average (μ) and median (M). The expression of mean deviation taken from the mean and median is formulated as:

$MD(\mu) = \int_0^\infty |x-\mu| f(x)\,dx = \mu - 2\int_0^M xf(x)\,dx$ the maximum likelihood equations derived for the MVW distribution are nonlinear and do not admit closed-form solutions, we can use numerical optimization techniques to estimate the parameters. Specifically, the Broyden– Fletcher–Goldfarb–Shanno (BFGS) algorithm can be implemented using the optim function available in different software (R language, Python, or SAS). Initial values for the parameters can be selected based on the method of moments, and convergence was assessed using a relative tolerance of limits. The log-likelihood

$MD(M) = \int_0^\infty |x-M| f(x)\,dx = 2\mu F(\mu) - 2\int_0^\mu xf(x)\,dx$

function can be maximized iteratively, ensuring that the parameter estimates remain within the valid parameter

Therefore, the equation of mean deviation for MVW distribution taken respectively from mean and medianspace throughout the optimization process. For statistical inference and interval estimation, we require the observed information matrix as follows:

are:

$MD(\mu) = 2\mu\,(1 - e^{-(\frac{x}{\beta})^{\alpha}})^2 - 2m_1(\mu)$ $J_n(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} J_{\alpha\alpha} & J_{\alpha\beta} \\ J_{\beta\alpha} & J_{\beta\beta} \end{bmatrix}$

$MD\ (M) = \mu - 2m_1\ (M)$

Where $\mu = \frac{\beta}{\alpha\alpha}\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)\left(2 - 2^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}\right)$. Every components are the "second-order derivative of the log-likelihood function" concerning parameters in the subscripts of the "Information Matrix" Jn(θ). By using this information matrix Jn(θ), we can obtain the Fisher

nformation matrix, which can be used for the inference of parameters.

Numerical Application

This section incorporates the simulation study of the MVW and compares the result with Weibull and Gamma distributions. Further, the MVW model is applied to real data sets to test the performance analysis of the proposed model.

A Simulation Study

To compare the performance of the Maximum likelihood estimate method presented previously, a simulation study was performed. We generate four sets of samples of size n= fifty, hundred, two hundred and five hundred each random sample for three sets of parameters as I : α = 1 and β = 3; II : α = 2 and β =comparison of performing the MVW distribution, a similar simulation analysis is performed for the Gamma and Weibull distributions. The simulation results of the Gamma distribution are presented in Table 3. The results for the Weibull distribution are presented in Table 4. The simulation study highlighted the superior performance of the MVW distribution compared to both the Gamma and Weibull distributions.

Table 4: ML Estimates, MSEs, SDs for two-parameter Weibull Distribution

2, and

Sample Size (n)	Actual Values		Estimated		MSEs		SDs	
	α	β	α^	β^	α^	β^	α^	β^
50	1	3	1.0330	2.9991	0.0158	0.1867	0.1194	0.4327
	2	2	2.0514	1.9999	0.0581	0.0209	0.2342	0.1429
	3	1	3.0961	0.9967	0.1331	0.0024	0.3463	0.0502
100	1	3	1.0198	3.0254	0.0067	0.1041	0.0836	0.3156
	2	2	2.0197	1.9988	0.0275	0.0117	0.1636	0.1044
	3	1	3.0357	0.9992	0.0619	0.0013	0.2331	0.0347
200	1	3	1.0055	3.0036	0.0032	0.0496	0.0554	0.2159
	2	2	2.0095	1.9945	0.0155	0.0051	0.1139	0.0763
	3	1	3.0167	0.9990	0.0273	0.0006	0.1646	0.0245
500	1	3	1.0015	2.9962	0.0019	0.0200	0.0350	0.1414
	2	2	2.004	1.999	0.0047	0.0024	0.0717	0.0465
	3	1	3.0125	0.9995	0.0118	0.0002	0.1031	0.0159

$III : \alpha = 3$and
$\beta = 1$by using the random

number generator of the MVW distribution. The simulation study is repeated N = 1000 times for each pair of parameter sets.

Table 2: ML Estimates of parameters with MSEs, and SDs for MVW

Sample Size (n)	Actual Values		Estimated		MSEs		SDs	
	α	β	α^	β^	α^	β^	α^	β^
50	1	3	1.0322	3.0263	0.0137	0.1282	0.1099	0.3548
	2	2	2.0483	1.9976	0.0538	0.0147	0.2232	0.1196
	3	1	3.0838	1.0002	0.1229	0.0016	0.3447	0.0405
100	1	3	1.0117	3.0114	0.0061	0.0666	0.0792	0.2638
	2	2	2.0221	1.9989	0.0240	0.0073	0.1596	0.0861
	3	1	3.0500	1.0019	0.0599	0.0007	0.2294	0.0288
200	1	3	1.0079	3.0089	0.0028	0.0305	0.0553	0.1820
	2	2	2.0152	2.0012	0.0122	0.0036	0.1069	0.0620
	3	1	3.0133	1.0014	0.0228	0.0004	0.1631	0.0210
500	1	3	1.0036	3.0064	0.0012	0.0137	0.0341	0.1168
2	2	2	2.0096	2.0012	0.0046	0.0015	0.0676	0.0384
	3	1	3.0151	1.0004	0.0100	0.0002	0.1006	0.0129

Table 3: ML Estimates, MSEs, SDs for two-parameter Gamma Distribution

Sample Size (n)	Actual Values		Estimated		MSEs		SDs	
	α	β	α^	β^	α^	β^	α^	β^
50	1	3	1.0591	2.9431	0.0434	0.4697	0.2052	0.6667
2	2	2	2.1123	1.9601	0.1749	0.1570	0.4306	0.4387
3	3	1	3.1746	0.9843	0.4532	0.0455	0.6372	0.2135
100	1	3	1.0244	2.9895	0.0179	0.2571	0.1292	0.4786
2	2	2	2.0595	1.9783	0.0837	0.0882	0.2907	0.3029
3	3	1	3.0832	0.9902	0.1847	0.0314	0.4382	0.1458
200	1	3	1.0120	2.9910	0.0088	0.1190	0.0900	0.3282
2	2	2	2.0240	1.9922	0.0373	0.0443	0.1922	0.2127
3	3	1	3.0730	0.9857	0.0997	0.0111	0.3004	0.1018
500	1	3	1.0097	3.0185	0.0026	0.0470	0.0556	0.2141
2	2	2	2.0120	1.9917	0.0145	0.0174	0.1159	0.1295
3	3	1	3.0184	0.9977	0.0351	0.0044	0.1887	0.0663

The sets of parameters assigned, the estimated value, Mean Square of Errors (MSEs), and Standard Deviations (SDs) have been presented in Table 2. As the sample size grows, a consistent decrease in the MSEs and SDs for the estimates is observed across all cases. For

Steps of Random Number Generation, Parameter Estimation, and Simulation Process for MVW Distribution

In this section, the step-by-step summary of the simulation study procedure for estimating parameters of the MVW distribution using the Maximum Likelihood Estimation (MLE) method, random number generation, and the simulation process is discussed. The simulation aims to assess how well the MLE procedure recovers true parameter values under repeated sampling. The fundamental steps are presented as follows.

a. For Random Number Generation

- Phase 1: Understand the Inverse Transform Method
- Phase 2: Derive the Inversion formula • Phase 3: Generate and validate random numbers

b. For Maximum Likelihood Estimation

- Phase 1: Initialization and Model Setup • Phase 2: Differentiation and Equation Formation
- Phase 3: Numerical Optimization and Output

c. For the Simulation Study

- Phase 1: Preparation and Setup• Phase 2: Data Generation and Parameter Estimation• Phase 3: Analyze Simulation Results

The algorithms for random number generation, MLE, the simulation study, and the pseudo code (R code) for each process are presented in the Appendix.

Numerical Application to Waiting Time and Tensile Strength Data

The adequacy and validity of the proposed model were assessed by using two real datasets that have been employed for fitting the distributional pattern. The initial data sets consist of 100 customers' queue time (minutes) at the bank for the service (Ghitany *et al.*, 2008). This data set was also utilized by Gaire (2023) and Gaire and Gurung (2024). The second data set has 69 data points on the "tensile strength of carbon fibers tested under tension at gauge lengths of 20 mm, measured in GPa units" (Bader and Priest, 1982).

For assessing the goodness of fit, we employed likelihood-based criteria, including Negative Log-Likelihood (NLL), $AIC = 2k - 2ln(\hat{L})$, and $BIC = ln(n)k - 2ln(\hat{L})$. In addition, goodness of fit was evaluated using the tests based on the empirical distribution functions, such as the K-S test, A-D test, andValues of α and β were selected using MLE, guided by empirical characteristics of the data. Initial values were derived from exploratory analysis to ensure convergence. Hyper-parameter tuning involved the use of the BFGS optimization tools adaptive step sizes and convergence tolerance set at 10−6, ensuring stable and accurate parameter estimation. Simulated data were used to assess the sensitivity and robustness of the parameter estimates under different initialization scenarios.

Results and Discussion

The fitted results of both data sets for the MVW distribution were compared with two-parameters Weibull, two-parameter Gamma, new exponential Weibull (EWD) (Tashkandy and Emam, 2023), new cosine Weibull (NC-Weibull) (Wu *et al.*, 2003), new flexible Weibull (NF-Weibull) (Bebbington *et al.*, 2007), SLLog (Gaire *et al.*, 2019; Gaire and Gurung, 2024). All
C-M criterion. Here, k
represents the total number ofthe distributions chosen for comparison are in the
model parameters, n is the sample size, and $(\hat{L})$, signifies the maximum likelihood values corresponding to the distribution. Parameter estimation was conducted using the "standard likelihood method" available tools *nlmixed* procedure in SAS software.

Table 5: Parameter estimation and different test statistics of waiting time datapositive realm. The distribution fitting results for both datasets and the associated test statistics are presented in Tables 5 and 6. The best comparative results of the proposed model MVW are presented in boldfaces appeared in both tables.

PDF	Parameter Estimates (standard error)	NLL	AIC	BIC	K-S (p- value)	A-D (p-value)	C-M (p-value)
MVW	α = 1.0345(0.0766) β = 6.7227(0.5614)	317.155	638.3	643.5	0.038 (0.998)	0.151 (0.999)	0.022 (0.995)
Gamma	α = 2.0088(0.2639) θ = 4.9168(0.7332)	317.300	638.6	643.8	0.043 (0.994)	0.186 (0.994)	0.029 (0.980)
Weibull	α = 1.4585(0.1098) β = 10.955(0.7942)	318.731	641.5	646.7	0.058 (0.892)	0.406 (0.843)	0.061 (0.809)
EWD	λ = 0.0032(0.0018) θ = 1.6221(0.1625) ψ = 7.3945(4.4730)	317.903	641.8	649.6	0.045 (0.988)	0.221 (0.984)	0.034 (0.963)
NC-Weibull	θ = 13.924(0.9601) τ = 1.2103(0.0963)	319.649	643.3	648.5	0.060 (0.869)	0.503 (0.743)	0.069 (0.756)
NF-Weibull	α = 0.0535(0.0047) β = 5.9415(0.6622)	321.268	646.5	651.7	0.085 (0.472)	0.771 (0.502)	0.112 (0.532)
SLLog	α = 1.7878(0.1432) β = 4.5755(0.3855)	322.805	649.6	654.8	0.063 (0.825)	0.733 (0.531)	0.073 (0.734)

Table 6: Parameter estimation and different test statistics for tensile strength data

PDF	Parameter Estimates (standard error)	NLL	AIC	BIC	K-S (p- value)	A-D (p-value)	C-M (p-value)
MVW	α = 3.8880(0.3488) β = 2.3286(0.0623)	48.860	101.7	106.2	0.040 (1.000)	0.144 (0.999)	0.015 (1.000)
NF-Weibull	α = 1.0197(0.0884) β = 7.1683(0.7204)	49.382	102.8	107.2	0.059 (0.972)	0.273 (0.957)	0.035 (0.957)
Weibull	α = 5.5049(0.5005) β = 2.6509(0.0612)	49.596	103.2	107.7	0.056 (0.981)	0.274 (0.956)	0.034 (0.960)
NC-Weibull	θ = 2.8246(0.0617) τ = 4.5845(0.4394)	50.009	104.0	108.5	0.054 (0.988)	0.308 (0.932)	0.036 (0.953)

Gamma	α = 23.382(3.9618) θ = 0.1048(0.0180)	50.037	104.1	108.5	0.059 (0.970)	0.338 (0.907)	0.046 (0.901)
EWD	λ = 0.0004(0.0004) θ = 6.1026(0.7721) ψ =7.6247(6.6542)	49.102	104.2	110.9	0.039 (1.000)	0.142 (0.999)	0.016 (0.999)
SLLog	α = 6.5694(0.6364) β = 2.1017(0.0583)	54.048	112.1	116.6	0.074 (0.844)	0.765 (0.507)	0.082 (0.680)

For both datasets, among the comparative models, the MVW has the lowest NLL, which indicates it captures the given data sets better than the others in terms of log likelihood. The MVW model also exhibits the lowest AIC and lowest BIC for both data sets. These criteria penalize model complexity, so a lower value means a better balance of fit and simplicity. This suggests that MVW is both accurate and parsimonious in describing the datasets. Figure 8 depicts the histogram of observed frequencies and curves of fitted frequency distribution by different models to the waiting times of customers across various models, while Figure 9 illustrates the histogram of observed frequencies and curves of fitted frequency distributions by different models to the tensile strength data. These graphs present the visualizations that underscore the superior fit and enhanced flexibility of the proposed MVW model compared to alternative models.



Fig. 8: Observed and fitted values of the waiting time data



Fig. 9: Empirical and fitted values of the tensile strength data

Similarly, the MVW model shows a strong goodness of fit performance. The K-S, A-D, as well as the C-M values with p values, are the highest for this model for both datasets, showing superior performance to comparable models. The MVW has only two parameters (α, and β), like many competing models (e.g., Weibull, NF-Weibull), but still performs better. Further, Standard errors are relatively small, indicating stable parameter estimates. This model shows robustness across all measures and consistently ranks at or near the top across all evaluation metrics. The proposed MVW distribution balances model simplicity with excellent fit, indicating that the model effectively represents the underlying data pattern. The low AIC/BIC confirms MVW's efficiency and generalizability, while acceptable goodness-of-fit values show it's not just tailored to this specific dataset.



Fig. 10: Q-Q plot of waiting time data



Fig. 11: Q-Q plot of tensile strength data

After utilizing the parameters result obtained by maximizing the NLL value for MVW distribution and other comparative models, we generated Q-Q plot for both datasets: waiting time (Figure 10) and strength data (Figure 11), the Q-Q plots, revealing the alignment between observed and fitted values for waiting time value and tensile strength data, respectively. The graphical illustration demonstrates the closest alignment with the 45-degree reference line and remains well within the confidence bands, indicating a superior fit to both datasets compared to alternative distributions. All the test statistics, both sets of figures, along with corresponding analysis results, affirm the MVW distributions' superior fit to the datasets.

Conclusion

A revised version of the Weibull two-parameter probability model has been formulated and presented. A method of estimate using MLE has been developed after a thorough examination of the distribution's statistical properties. In order to determine if the MVW distribution was flexible enough, we ran a simulation and used a number of goodness-of-fit criteria. The following criteria were included: NLL, AIC, and BIC. The K-S, A-D, and C-M criteria are empirical distribution measures. Datasets pertaining to actual client wait times and carbon fiber tensile strengths were used to evaluate the model's robustness. The suggested distribution is more flexible than the Weibull distribution and other two-parameter models, according to test statistics, Q-Q plots, and fitted data graphs. Table 7 shows the critical distribution functions of the models that were compared.

Table 7: Distribution models and their CDF used of comparison

Distributions	CDF

MVW	$F(x) = [1 - e^{-(\frac{x}{\beta})^{\alpha}}]^{2}$ *for* $x, \alpha, \beta > 0$
Gamma	$F(x) = [1 - e^{-(\frac{x}{\beta})^{\alpha}}]^{2}$ *for* $x, \alpha, \theta > 0$
Weibull	$F(x) = 1 - e^{-(\frac{x}{\beta})^{\alpha}}$ *for* $x, \alpha, \beta > 0$
EWD	$F(x) = 1 - e^{-\psi(1-e^{-\lambda x^{\theta}})}$ *for* $x, \lambda, \theta, \psi > 0$
NC-Weibull	$F(x) = \frac{\exp[\cos(\frac{\pi}{2} e^{-(\frac{x}{\theta})^{\tau}})]-1}{e-1}$; *for* $x, \theta, \tau > 0$
NF-Weibull	$F(x) = 1 - \exp[-e^{-\alpha x - \frac{\bar{x}}{\beta}}]$; *for* $x, \alpha, \beta > 0$
SLLog	$F(x) = [\frac{1}{1+(\frac{x}{\beta})^{-\alpha}}]^{2}$ *for* $x, \alpha, \beta > 0$

Further, suggests the applicability of the MVW distribution to fit distributional patterns in diverse world problems. Future research avenues include applying this model to other data sets and formulating regression models based on the MVW distribution as well as different methods such as the Bayesian technique were suggested to test the performance on real-world problems.

References

In 2011, Aryal and Tsokos published a work. The Weibull Probability Distribution: A Generalization of the Transmuted Weibull Distribution. Chapter 4, Section 2, Pages 89–102, European Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics. Author: Azzalini (1985). Included in this category of distributions are the usual ones. Pages 178–171 of the Scandinavian Journal of Statistics.In 2005, Azzalini published a... The Skew-normal Spread

According to Gaire (2023). Applications, Skew Lomax Distribution, Parameter Estimation, and Its Characteristics. Articles 1–11 published in the Journal of Science and Engineering. Here is the link to the article: https://doi.org/10.3126/jsce.v10i1.61041.

In 2024, Gaire and Gurung published a paper. Random skew logistic distribution: features and uses. Statistics in Transition, Volume 25, Issue 1, Pages 43–62. http://doi.org/10.59170/stattrans-2024-003 [last access date].

In 2024, Gaire and Gurung published a paper. Analysis of performance and features of the log-logistic distribution were created by Rayleigh. "Istatistik" in the Turkish Statistical Association's journal, volume 15, issue 1, pages 13–28.

In their 2023a publication, Gaire, Gurung, and Bhusal discuss the topic. A statistical analysis was conducted on the age at which Nepalese women were first married. The analysis included status, differential, determinants, and distributional pattern considerations. Section 32, pages 308–328, Journal of Population and Social Studies. DOI: 10.25133/jpssv322024.019 |

Y. B. Gurung, A. K. Gaire, and T. P. Bhusal (2023b). Development of a menopausal life table and stochastic modeling of the age at which Nepalese women experience menopause? International Journal of Health Economics and Sustainability, 1(2), 1239. This is the link to the article: https://doi.org/10.36922/ghes2.1239.

In their 2024a publication, Gaire, Gurung, and Bhusal discuss the topic. A mathematical study of age-specific fertility models with applications to data from Nepal and Malaysia, examining the development of fertility models. Economic and Sustainable Global Health, 3(1), 4219. Viewed at https://doi.org/10.36922/ghes.4219

In their 2024b publication, Gaire, Gurung, and Bhusal discuss the topic. Age at menarche for girls in Nepal and a developing menarchal life table: a stochastic model. Journal of Population Research, 63(2), 146-161. Here is the link to the article: https://doi.org/10.1353/prv.2024.a946252. Thapa, G. B., S. K., and Gaire, A. K. (2019).Skew Log-logistic distribution, characteristics, and application preliminary findings. Volume 2, Issue 4, Pages 37–43, 2nd International Conference on Earthquake Engineering and Post-Disaster Reconstruction.

Al-Hussaini, M. E., Al-Jarallah, R. A., & Ghitany, M. E. (2005). The expanded Weibull distribution by Marshall-Olkin and its use with censored data. Volume 32, Issue 10, pages 1025–1034, Journal of Applied Statistics. Doi: 10.1080/02664760500165008

The authors of this work are Ghitany, Atieh, and Nadarajah (2008). The Lindley Distribution and Its Practical Use? Volume 78, Issue 4, Pages 493-506, Mathematics and Computers in Simulation. The link to the article is https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matcom.2007.06.007/. Authors: Gupta, A. K., Chang, F. C., and Huang, W. J. the year 2002. A few models that are skew-symmetrical. The article is published in the journal Random Operators and Stochastic Equations and can be found on page-133-040. DOI: 0.1515/rose.2002.10.2.133In 2007, Huang and Chen published. Slope-Cauchy distribution applied generally. Volume 77, Issue 11, Pages 1137–1147, Statistics & Probability Letters. The publication's DOI is 10.1016/j.spl.2007.02.006.